

Public comment submission: Wyndham Landfill application for works approval

#7

COMPLETE



Collector: Website collector (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, February 01, 2017 8:20:39 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, February 01, 2017 8:35:17 PM
Time Spent: 00:14:37

PAGE 1: You and your contact details

Q1: First name [REDACTED]
Q2: Surname [REDACTED]
Q3: Postcode 3205
Q4: Email address [REDACTED]
Q5: Phone number [REDACTED]
Q6: Is this your only submission for this works approval application? Yes

PAGE 2: Your submission

Q7: Issues

Proposal is not compliant with principles of the Environment Protection Act 1970 and/or State Environmental Planning Policy	Very High
Energy and greenhouse gas emissions	Very High
Existing site or Applicant's performance and compliance	Very High
Waste generation / storage / treatment	Very High
Design issues and proposed technology	Very High
Environmental management	Very High
Sustainability	Very High
Other	Very High

Other (please specify)

There is a lack of consideration or detailed exploration of alternative initiatives to landfill, particularly waste to energy has been quickly dismissed without any reference to commercial initiatives proposed to Council in the past year.

Public comment submission: Wyndham Landfill application for works approval

Q8: Potential impacts

Ground water resources	Very High
Noise amenity	Very High
Health risks and/or hazards	Very High
Air (e.g. emissions, dust or odour)	Very High
Land and soil resources	Very High
Other	Very High
Other (please specify)	Visually aspects of a 44 metre construction require further consideration than screening by trees.

PAGE 3: Issue details

Q9: Issue 1 (Optional)

Waste to energy technology is available to reduce the necessity for landfills.

Q10: Issue 2 (Optional)

Council has stated that it is because of income from landfilling that is driving this initiative.

Q11: Issue 3 (Optional)

The case for going higher to 44 metres has not been clearly made. Why not just open another cell or explore other options?

Q12: Issue 4 (Optional)

The MWRRIP is referenced for the importance and significance of the landfill. This is from a report about landfills, not about what is important about waste management.

Q13: Issue 5 (Optional)

Page 26 of the GHD report states that Mornington Peninsula Rye landfill is going to close. This is now outdated and Council voted to keep it open and expand it.

PAGE 4: Endorsement and submission

Q14: Do you object or approve the proposal?

Object,

Please provide an explanation
Proposals have been tabled to City of Wyndham for a waste to energy project at current landfill rates. Council will not consider these while the cash cow is there to be milked. Building a mountain of waste by a City Council is a poor decision based on greed over the community. The effects of methane from landfill are more dangerous for Climate Change and urgent action is required by the State Government to address this through EPA.

Public comment submission: Wyndham Landfill application for works approval

Q15: Additional materials (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

